

FAMILIES OF NON-CONGRUENT NUMBERS

FRANZ LEMMERMEYER

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the Tate-Shafarevich groups corresponding to 2-isogenies of the curve $E_k : y^2 = x(x^2 - k^2)$ and construct infinitely many examples where these groups have odd 2-rank. Our main result is that among the curves E_k , where $k = pl \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ for primes p and l , the curves with rank 0 have density $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The elliptic curves $E_k : y^2 = x(x^2 - k^2)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ have been studied extensively, mainly because of the connection with the ancient problem of congruent numbers (see Guy [10] or Koblitz [14]). Many authors constructed families of non-congruent numbers by minimizing the Selmer groups attached to 2-isogenies of E_k (see Feng [7, 8], Iskra [12], T. Ono [28], Serf [33], to name but the most recent contributors; actually results of this type go back to Genocchi [9] in the last century). Sharper results were obtained notably by J. Lagrange [16, 17] (see also Wada [36] and Nemenzo [25]), who found better bounds on the rank of E_k by taking the 2-part of the Tate-Shafarevich groups into account. In this article, we will refine the criteria obtained by Lagrange and show that curves E_k , where $k = pl$ for primes $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, very rarely have Tate-Shafarevich groups with trivial 2-part.

Notation. We recall the relevant notation from [18] (the standard reference for notions not explained here is Silverman [34]): elliptic curves E with a rational point T of order 2 as our curves E_k come attached with a 2-isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ (depending on the choice of T if E has three rational points of order 2). For $T = (0, 0)$ we find the isogenous curve

$$\widehat{E}_k : y^2 = \begin{cases} x(x^2 + 4k^2) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd, and} \\ x(x^2 + k^2/4) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

(the distinction is made in order to minimize the coefficients of the curve; we could just as well work with only $y^2 = x(x^2 + 4k^2)$ as both models are isomorphic). The dual isogeny $\widehat{E}_k \rightarrow E_k$ will be denoted by ψ . If k is fixed, we will suppress this index and write E and $\widehat{E}$ for E_k and $\widehat{E}_k$.

Consider the torsors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(b_1) : \quad N^2 &= b_1 M^4 + b_2 e^4, \quad b_1 b_2 = -k^2 \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(b_1) : \quad N^2 &= b_1 M^4 + b_2 e^4, \quad b_1 b_2 = \begin{cases} 4k^2 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ k^2/4 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The main part of this article was written in 1999 while the author was at the MPI Bonn; he would like to thank everyone there for the hospitality and the stimulating environment, and the DFG for financial support during that time.

The Selmer group $S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ is defined as the subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ consisting of classes $b_1\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ such that $T^{(\psi)}(b_1)$ has a nontrivial ($\neq (0,0,0)$) rational point in every completion $\mathbb{Q}_v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$; the subgroup of $S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ such that the torsors $T^{(\psi)}(b_1)$ corresponding to $b_1\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ have a rational point will be denoted by $W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ (from now on, rational point will stand for non-trivial rational point; we may and do assume moreover that its coordinates are integral and primitive, that is, $(M, e) = 1$). Similarly we define $S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $W(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Finally, the Tate-Shafarevich groups are defined via the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow W(E/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

There exist various methods for constructing elements of order 2 in Tate-Shafarevich groups: one can perform a second 2-descent (cf. Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [2], Razar [29], Lagrange [16, 17], Wada [36] and Nemenzo [24]), employ the Cassels pairing (see e.g. Aoki [1], Bölling [3], Cassels [4], and McGuinness [23]), compare the Selmer groups $S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $S^{(2)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ as Kramer [15] (essentially, the methods mentioned so far are all equivalent to the classical second 2-descent), or use the method usually attributed to Lind [22] but actually going back (in a slightly different context) to Rédei [30] and Dirichlet [6] (I learned this technique from Stroeker & Top [35] and used it in [18] and [20]). In this paper, we continue to use this last method.

Our main results are the solvability criteria in Table 3 below; this will imply the lower bounds for density of rank-0 curves among the E_{pl} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the calculations below we will have use quite a number of elementary results on quadratic reciprocity and genus theory. The following subsections recall what we will need.

2.1. Some reciprocity laws. In the following, p and l will denote primes $\equiv 1 \pmod 8$, and π and λ will denote primary primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with norms p and l , respectively. A prime π of norm $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ is called primary if π is congruent to a square modulo 4. For $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\Pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\Pi^* \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ we can always choose associates satisfying $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{2+2i}$, $\Pi \equiv 1 \pmod{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $\Pi^* \equiv 1 \pmod{2\sqrt{-2}}$, and these elements are primary.

We will need a few elementary results on quadratic residue symbols; as in [18], we let $(p/l)_4$ denote the biquadratic residue symbol for primes $l \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ such that $(p/l) = 1$, and we let $[\cdot/\cdot]$ denote the quadratic residue symbol in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. We also note that, for primes $l = \lambda\bar{\lambda} \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, the relation $(1+i)^4 = -4$ implies that $[1+i/\lambda] = (-4/l)_8$ (this is the rational octic residue symbol). Moreover, $[\pi/\lambda] = (p/l)_4(l/p)_4$ for primes $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ and $l = \lambda\bar{\lambda}$ such that $(p/l) = 1$ by Burde's rational reciprocity law. Finally, it is easy to check that $(\varepsilon_2/p) = [1+i/\pi] = (-4/p)_8$, where $\varepsilon_2 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ (see [19]).

Now recall that primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ are norms from $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$, say $p = N\alpha$ for some $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{(2+2\zeta)}$, and in fact there exist primary elements $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\Pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\Pi^* \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ with norm p . For primes $l \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, we define λ , Λ and Λ^* similarly. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, this notation is valid for the rest of this article.

The following result shows that solvability criteria involving the quadratic symbol $[\Pi^*/\Lambda^*]$ can be reduced to criteria involving only $[\Pi/\Lambda]$ and rational quartic residue symbols:

Proposition 1. *Let $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be primes such that $(p/l) = +1$. Then*

$$\left[\frac{\Pi}{\Lambda}\right] \left[\frac{\Pi^*}{\Lambda^*}\right] = \left[\frac{\pi}{\lambda}\right] = \left(\frac{p}{l}\right)_4 \left(\frac{l}{p}\right)_4,$$

where the first three symbols $[\cdot/\cdot]$ denote the quadratic residue symbol in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, respectively.

Proof. We know that there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$ such that $\Pi^* = \alpha_1 \alpha_3$ (here $\alpha_j = \sigma_j(\alpha)$, where σ_j is the automorphism that sends ζ_8 to ζ_8^j ; in particular $\alpha_1 = \alpha$), $\pi = \alpha_1 \alpha_5$ and $\Pi = \alpha_1 \alpha_7$ (observe that such norms are necessarily totally positive). Defining β accordingly we have $[\Pi/\Lambda] = (\alpha_1 \alpha_7 / \beta)$, where $(\cdot/\cdot)$ is the quadratic residue symbol in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$. Similarly, we have $[\Pi^*/\Lambda^*] = (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 / \beta)$, hence $[\Pi/\Lambda][\Pi^*/\Lambda^*] = (\alpha_3 \alpha_7 / \beta)$. But this last symbol equals $[\pi/\lambda]$, and since $(p/l) = +1$ this coincides with $[\pi/\lambda]$. This proves our claim by Burde's reciprocity law. $\square$

We also note that $[\Lambda/\Pi] = [\Pi/\Lambda]$ and $[\Lambda^*/\Pi^*] = [\Pi^*/\Lambda^*]$ by the quadratic reciprocity laws in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$, respectively. Finally, if K/k is an extension of number fields, if $[\cdot/\cdot]$ and $(\cdot/\cdot)$ denote the quadratic residue symbols in K and k , respectively, and if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_k$ with odd norm, then $[\alpha/\mathfrak{a}] = (N_{K/k} \alpha / \mathfrak{a})$ right from the definition of residue symbols. Similarly, for ideals $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ with relative norm $\mathfrak{a}$ and elements $\alpha \in k$ coprime to $\mathfrak{a}$, we have $[\alpha/\mathfrak{A}] = (\alpha/\mathfrak{a})$. For more on rational reciprocity laws, see [19, Chap. 5].

2.2. The class groups of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2l})$. Let us begin by reviewing the basic results of Scholz as pertaining to the special case $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$, where $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is prime. Let ε , h and h^+ denote the fundamental unit, the class number and the class number in the strict sense of k . Moreover, define $(l/2)_4 = (-1/l)_8$ for primes $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$; then $(-4/l)_8 = (2/l)_4(l/2)_4$. The following proposition is the special case $p = 2$ of a more general result due to Scholz [31]:

Proposition 2. *With the notation as above, there are the following cases:*

- $(2/l) = -1$: then $N\varepsilon = -1$ and $h \equiv h^+ \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$;
- $(2/l) = +1$:
 - (1) if $(2/l)_4 = -(l/2)_4$, then $N\varepsilon = +1$, $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $h^+ \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.
 - (2) if $(2/l)_4 = (l/2)_4 = -1$, then $N\varepsilon = -1$ and $h \equiv h^+ \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$;
 - (3) if $(2/l)_4 = (l/2)_4 = +1$, then $4 \mid h$ and $8 \mid h^+$.

Note that e.g. by the class number formula for strictly ambiguous ideals $C_{\text{am}} = 2^{t-1}/(E_F/NE_K)$ in quadratic extensions K/F with t ramified primes and unit groups E_F and E_K , the prime ideal $\mathfrak{z}$ above 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is principal in the usual sense if and only if $N\varepsilon = +1$ for the fundamental unit ε of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

Let $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{+}{\sim} \boxed{2}$ be short for “the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is equivalent in the strict sense to the square of some ideal”, and define $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{+}{\sim} \boxed{4}$ similarly.

If $d = d_1 d_2$ is a product of two prime discriminants, then classical genus theory tells us that, for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ with norm a (the existence of $\mathfrak{a}$ implies $(d/a) = +1$), we have $\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{+}{\sim} \boxed{2}$ if and only if $(d_1/a) = (d_2/a) = +1$.

Lemma 3. *Let $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be primes such that $(p/l) = +1$, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ denote the prime ideal above p in $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\pm}{\sim} \boxed{4} \iff [\Lambda/\Pi] = 1$.*

Proof. If $4 \mid h^+$, then the corresponding quartic cyclic unramified extension K/k is given by $K = k(\sqrt[4]{\Lambda})$. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of degree 1 will split completely in K/k if and only if its ideal class is a fourth power in $\text{Cl}^+(k)$; on the other hand, Kummer theory shows that it splits if and only if Λ is a quadratic residue modulo any prime ideal above $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, that is, if and only if $[\Lambda/\Pi] = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$ and assume that $(-4/l)_8 = -1$. Then the prime ideal $\mathfrak{2}$ above 2 in $\mathcal{O}_k$ is principal in the strict sense if and only if $(2/l)_4 = -1$.*

Proof. First observe that our assumption implies by Proposition 2 that the fundamental unit of k has positive norm, that 2 is principal in the wide sense, and that $h^+ \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.

Assume that 2 is principal in the strict sense. Then $X^2 - 2ly^2 = +2$ is solvable, hence so is $2x^2 - ly^2 = 1$ (we have put $X = 2x$). Now clearly $2 \nmid x$, hence $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $2x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$; on the other hand, $(2/y) = +1$, hence $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. Together this implies that $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, that is, $(-1/l)_8 = +1$. Since $(-4/l)_8 = -1$ by assumption, this is equivalent to $(2/l)_4 = -1$.

Now assume that 2 is not principal in the strict sense. Then $X^2 - 2ly^2 = -2$, and with $X = 2x$ we get $2x^2 - ly^2 = -1$. Now $(2/l)_4 = (x/l) = (l/x')$, where $x = 2^j x'$ with x' odd, and $(l/x') = +1$ by reducing our equation modulo x' . Thus $(2/l)_4 = +1$. $\square$

3. THE CASE $k = 2p$

We will now investigate which torsors of E_{2p} do not have rational points although they are everywhere locally solvable. These curves were already studied by Lagrange [17] using second 2-descents and by Kings [13] using the Cassels pairing on $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. The curves E_{2p} are the simplest examples where $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ and $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ may have odd dimension:

Theorem 5. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be a prime and consider the elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x(x^2 - 4p^2)$. Then the Selmer groups are given by*

$$S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle -1, 2, p \rangle, \quad S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle p \rangle,$$

and if $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, then we have $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] = \langle p \rangle$ and $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] = \langle p \rangle$. Here $\langle x, \dots, z \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ generated by $x, \dots, z$. Moreover, $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Proof. We leave the proofs that $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ and $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ both have order 2 as an exercise to the reader (they are much simpler than the proofs in the sections below). The claims $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ follow from the exact sequences (see diagram (3.9) in Razar [29, p. 139]; Feng [7, 8] erroneously claims that $C = \widehat{C} = 0$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where C and $\widehat{C}$ are finite groups of square order by results of Cassels [?]. Since they are quotients of groups of order 2, it follows that $C = \widehat{C} = 0$, and this implies our claim. $\square$

4. THE CASE $k = pl \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

The simplest cases are those where $p \equiv l \equiv 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$; they were already discussed by Lagrange [16]:

$p \pmod{8}$	$l \pmod{8}$	(p/l)	$S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$	$S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$
1	1	+1	$\langle -1, p, l \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$
		-1	$\langle -1, pl \rangle$	$\langle 2, pl \rangle$
5	5	+1	$\langle -1, pl \rangle$	$\langle p, l \rangle$
		-1	$\langle -1, pl \rangle$	$\langle 2p, 2l \rangle$
3	3		$\langle -1, pl \rangle$	1
7	7		$\langle -1, p, l \rangle$	$\langle 2 \rangle$

He also found necessary criteria for the solvability of certain torsors. Here are the results, reformulated using our notation:

Proposition 6. *Let p and l be distinct primes such that $p \equiv l \equiv 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$. If the torsors in the table below have a rational point, then the conditions in the last column must be satisfied:*

$p \pmod{8}$	$l \pmod{8}$	(p/l)	torsors	conditions
5	5	+1	$\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(p), \mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(l), \mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(pl)$	$(p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4$
5	5	-1	$\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p), \mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2l), \mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(pl)$	$[(1+i)\pi/\lambda] = +1$
7	7	+1	$\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2), \mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(p), \mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(l)$	$[\Lambda/\Pi] = +1$

In the last row, $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a primary element with norm $-l$, and $\Pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ has norm $\pm p$. Observe that $[\Lambda/\Pi]$ is well defined since $[\Lambda/\Pi][\overline{\Lambda}/\Pi] = [-l/\Pi] = (-l/p) = (p/l) = +1$.

The proofs for $p \equiv l \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ are straight forward and left as an exercise to the reader. Here we give some details for the case $p \equiv l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$: Consider the torsor $\mathcal{T}(p) : pn^2 = M^4 - l^2e^4$. Reduction modulo l shows immediately that either 1) $l \nmid M$ and $(p/l) = +1$, or 2) $l \mid M$ and $(p/l) = -1$. Moreover, either A) $2 \nmid Me$ and $2 \mid n$, or B) $2 \nmid ne$ and $2 \mid M$. As in the case $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we get four equations per case:

case	I	II	III	IV
1A)	$M^2 + le^2 = 2pa^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = 2b^2$	$pa^2 + b^2 = M^2$	$pa^2 - b^2 = le^2$
1B)	$M^2 + le^2 = pa^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = b^2$	$pa^2 + b^2 = 2M^2$	$pa^2 - b^2 = 2le^2$
2A)	$lm^2 + e^2 = 2a^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = 2pb^2$	$a^2 - pb^2 = e^2$	$a^2 - pb^2 = lm^2$
2B)	$lm^2 + e^2 = a^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = pb^2$	$a^2 - pb^2 = 2e^2$	$a^2 - pb^2 = 2lm^2$

Now we distinguish these four cases:

- 1A) Writing II) and III) in the form $M^2 - 2b^2 = le^2$ and $M^2 - b^2 = pa^2$ we find that $[\lambda/\Pi] = [M + b\sqrt{2}/\Pi]$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is the element of norm l that divides $M + b\sqrt{2}$. We would like to use the congruence $b \equiv \pm M \pmod{p}$ coming from second equation and conclude that $[\lambda/\Pi] = [1 \pm \sqrt{2}/\Pi]$, but unfortunately the last symbol depends on the choice of the sign. We therefore have to work a little harder.

First observe that, for $M > 0$, we have $(M/p) = (-p/M) = +1$ from the second equation. Now we factor $pa^2 = (M - b)(M + b)$ and consider the following two cases:

- a) $M - b = 2pr^2$, $M + b = 2s^2$ (the negative signs cannot hold here: otherwise we would get $M = -s^2 - rp^2$ contradicting our assumption that $M > 0$); then $b = s^2 - pr^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and we get $[M + b\sqrt{2}/\Pi] = (M/p)[1 + \sqrt{2}/\Pi] = [1 + \sqrt{2}/\Pi]$.
b) $M - b = 2r^2$, $M + b = 2ps^2$; then $b = ps^2 - r^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and now $[M + b\sqrt{2}/\Pi] = [1 - \sqrt{2}/\Pi]$.

Thus $[\lambda\varepsilon/\Pi] = +1$, where $\varepsilon = 1 + (-1/b)\sqrt{2}$. Now it is easy to check that $\lambda\varepsilon$ is primary: in fact, $r + s\sqrt{2}$ with $2 \mid s$ is primary if and only if $r + s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and since $\lambda\varepsilon$ is primary if and only if $(M + b\sqrt{2})\varepsilon$ is, we find

$$(M + b\sqrt{2})\varepsilon = \begin{cases} M + 2b + (M + b)\sqrt{2} & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ M - 2b + (b - M)\sqrt{2} & \text{if } b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and $2M + 3b \equiv 2 - b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in the first and $-b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in the second case. Thus in this case $[\Lambda/\Pi] = +1$, where $\Lambda = \lambda\varepsilon$ is primary with norm $-l$.

- 1B) Here $b^2 - 2M^2 = -pa^2$ and $b^2 - M^2 = -le^2$. Again, choosing $M > 0$ guarantees $(M/l) = (-l/M) = +1$. Next, $M - b = r^2$ and $M + b = ls^2$ imply $2b = ls^2 - r^2$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, while $M - b = lr^2$ and $M + b = s^2$ give $2b = s^2 - lr^2$ and $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus we get $[b + M\sqrt{2}/\Lambda] = [-1 + \sqrt{2}/\Lambda]$ if $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $[b + M\sqrt{2}/\Lambda] = [1 + \sqrt{2}/\Lambda]$ if $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Putting $\varepsilon = -(-1/b) + \sqrt{2}$, it is easy to check that $(b + M\sqrt{2})\varepsilon$ is totally positive. Now Hasse [11] has shown that we have the reciprocity law $[\alpha/\beta] = [\beta/\alpha]$ in an arbitrary algebraic number field if the conductors of α and β are coprime. Since $(b + M\sqrt{2})\varepsilon \gg 0$, the gcd of the conductors of $(b + M\sqrt{2})\varepsilon$ and Λ do not contain infinite primes, and since Λ is primary, the gcd does not contain primes above 2. But then $(b + M\sqrt{2}, \Lambda) = (1)$ guarantees that the conductors are indeed coprime, and the reciprocity law gives $1 = [(b + M\sqrt{2})\varepsilon/\Lambda] = [\Lambda/b + M\sqrt{2}]$. Since $(b + M\sqrt{2}) = (\Pi\alpha^2)$ by unique factorization, we conclude that $[\Lambda/b + M\sqrt{2}] = [\Lambda/\Pi]$.

- 2A) Equations I and III correspond to III and II in case 1B) with the roles of p and l switched.

- 2B) Again, this reduces to case 1A).

We have proved:

Proposition 7. *Let d_i denote the density of rank 0 curves among the E_{pl} , where $p \equiv l \equiv i \pmod{8}$ are primes. Then we have $d_3 = 1$, $d_5 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $d_7 \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

The main result of this note is that we also have $d_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (this is much stronger than the result obtained by Lagrange [17]). Although numerical computations seem

to suggest that $d_i = 1$, it seems that the bounds derived in this article cannot be improved using our methods.

From now on, we will assume that p and q are both primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

4.1. The case $(p/l) = -1$. Let $k = pl$ be a product of primes $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ with $(p/l) = -1$. Then (see [17])

$$S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle -1, pl \rangle = W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}), \quad S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle 2, pl \rangle.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] = 0$, so we only have to discuss the ϕ -part of $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

Proposition 8. *If $k = pl$ is a product of primes $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ with $(p/l) = -1$, then $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] = \langle 2, pl \rangle$ whenever $(-4/p)_8(-4/l)_8 = -1$. If this condition holds, we have $\#\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 4$.*

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2) : N^2 = 2M^4 + 2p^2l^2e^4$.

- Assume first that $(M, pl) = 1$; then $N = 2n$ gives $2n^2 = M^4 + p^2l^2e^4$. Now $M^2 + ple^2i \equiv 1 + i \pmod{8}$ and unique factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ shows that $M^2 + ple^2i = (1 + i)\nu^2$. Write $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ for primes $\pi, \bar{\pi} \equiv 1 \pmod{2 + 2i}$; reducing modulo π gives $[1 + i/\pi] = +1$, that is, $(-4/p)_8 = +1$, and similarly $(-4/l)_8 = +1$.
- If $(M, pl) = p$, put $M = mp$ and $N = 2pn$; then we get $2n^2 = (pm^2 + le^2i)(pm^2 + le^2i)$, and again $pm^2 + le^2i = (1 + i)\nu^2$. Reducing modulo π gives $[1 + i/\pi] = [l/\pi] = (l/p) = -1$, hence $(-4/p)_8 = (-4/l)_8 = -1$.
- The cases $(M, pl) = l$ and $(M, pl) = pl$ are treated similarly.

Next take $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(pl) : N^2 = plM^4 + 4ple^4$. With $N = pln$ this gives $pln^2 = M^4 + 4e^4$; since we may switch the roles of M and e we may assume that M is odd and e is even. Reducing modulo p and l shows that $(-4/pl)_8 = (Me/p)$. Write $e = 2^je'$ with e' odd: then $(e/p) = (e'/p) = (p/e') = 1$ and $(M/p) = (p/M) = 1$. Thus $(-4/pl)_8 = 1$.

Finally look at $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2pl) : 2pln^2 = M^4 + e^4$. As above, $M^2 + ie^2 = (1 + i)\pi\lambda\nu^2$; adding this equation to its conjugate gives $2M^2 = (1 + i)\pi\lambda\nu^2 + (1 - i)\bar{\pi}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\nu}^2$. Reducing modulo $\bar{\pi}$ gives $1 = (2/p) = [1 + i/\bar{\pi}][\pi/\bar{\pi}][\lambda/\bar{\pi}]$. Now $[\pi/\bar{\pi}] = 1$ and $[\lambda/\bar{\pi}] = [\lambda/\pi]$, hence $(-4/p)_8 = [\pi/\lambda]$. Similarly, $(-4/l)_8 = [\pi/\lambda]$, and the claim follows. Note that $[\pi/\lambda]$ depends on the choice of π and λ . $\square$

From Prop. 8 we get by a standard application of Chebotarev's density theorem the following

Corollary 9. *The curves of rank 0 among E_{pl} , where $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ are primes such that $(p/l) = -1$, have density at least $\frac{1}{2}$.*

4.2. The case $(p/l) = +1$. Let $k = pl$ be a product of primes $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ with $(p/l) = +1$. Then (see [17])

$$S^{(\psi)}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle -1, p, l \rangle, \quad S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle 2, p, l \rangle.$$

Moreover $\langle -1, pl \rangle \subseteq W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$. As above, we will now compute nontrivial elements of $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ and $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$.

The ψ -part

First we observe that $W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$ always contains $\langle -1, pl \rangle$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) &= \langle -1, p, l \rangle \text{ and } \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] = 0, \\ \text{or } W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q}) &= \langle -1, pl \rangle \text{ and } \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] = \langle p \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle p \rangle$ represents the class of $p\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ (which is the same as the class of $l\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ in view of $pl\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2} \in W(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$) in $\mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$.

It is therefore sufficient to consider the torsor $\mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(p) : N^2 = pM^4 - pl^2e^4$. Here the right hand side factors over $\mathbb{Q}$ as $N^2 = p(M^2 - le^2)(M^2 + le^2)$. We have the following possibilities concerning divisibility

$$\text{by } 2: \begin{cases} 1) \ 2 \mid e, 2 \nmid MN \\ 2) \ 2 \mid N, 2 \nmid Me, \end{cases} \quad \text{by } l: \begin{cases} A) \ l \nmid MN \\ B) \ l \mid M, l \mid N, \end{cases} \quad \text{and by } p: \begin{cases} a) \ p \mid (M^2 + le^2) \\ b) \ p \mid (M^2 - le^2). \end{cases}$$

Thus we have to consider eight different cases. We claim

Proposition 10. *Let E be the elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x(x^2 - k^2)$, where $k = pl$ and where $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ are primes such that $(p/l) = 1$. If the torsor*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(p) : N^2 = pM^4 - pl^2e^4,$$

has a rational solution, then the conditions in Table 1 hold according to the case we are in.

case	conditions(*)
1Aa)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4 = (-4/p)_8 = 1$
1Ab)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4(-4/p)_8 = 1$
1Ba)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/pl)_8, (l/p)_4 = (p/l)_4(-4/p)_8 = 1$
1Bb)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/l)_8, (p/l)_4 = (-4/p)_8 = 1$
2Aa)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/p)_8, (l/p)_4 = (-4/l)_8 = 1$
2Ab)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4 = (p/l)_4(-4/l)_8 = 1$
2Ba)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/pl)_8, (p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4(-4/l)_8 = 1$
2Bb)	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (p/l)_4 = (-4/l)_8 = 1$

TABLE 1. If $\mathcal{T}^{(\psi)}(p)$ has a rational point, then the conditions (*) hold.

If we are in case 1A), then putting $N = pn$ in (1) gives $pn^2 = M^4 - l^2e^4 = (M^2 - le^2)(M^2 + le^2)$. In case 1Aa), these two factors are coprime, hence $M^2 + le^2 = pa^2$ (I) and $M^2 - le^2 = b^2$ (II), where $ab = n$. By adding and subtracting (I) and (II) we get $2M^2 = b^2 + pa^2$ (III) and $2le^2 = pa^2 - b^2$ (IV). In a similar way we find the following table displaying the four equations (I)–(IV) whose solvability follow from the existence of a rational point on (1):

case	I	II	III	IV
1Aa)	$M^2 + le^2 = pa^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = b^2$	$2M^2 = b^2 + pa^2$	$2le^2 = pa^2 - b^2$
1Ab)	$M^2 + le^2 = a^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = pb^2$	$2M^2 = a^2 + pb^2$	$2le^2 = a^2 - pb^2$
1Ba)	$lm^2 + e^2 = pa^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = b^2$	$2lm^2 = b^2 + pa^2$	$2e^2 = pa^2 - b^2$
1Bb)	$lm^2 + e^2 = a^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = pb^2$	$2lm^2 = a^2 + pb^2$	$2e^2 = a^2 - pb^2$
2Aa)	$M^2 + le^2 = 2pa^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = 2b^2$	$M^2 = b^2 + pa^2$	$le^2 = pa^2 - b^2$
2Ab)	$M^2 + le^2 = 2a^2$	$M^2 - le^2 = 2pb^2$	$M^2 = a^2 + pb^2$	$le^2 = a^2 - pb^2$
2Ba)	$lm^2 + e^2 = 2pa^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = 2b^2$	$lm^2 = b^2 + pa^2$	$e^2 = pa^2 - b^2$
2Bb)	$lm^2 + e^2 = 2a^2$	$lm^2 - e^2 = 2pb^2$	$lm^2 = a^2 + pb^2$	$e^2 = a^2 - pb^2$

In order to save some work we prove a general result that may be applied to each of these cases:

Proposition 11. *Let $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{N}$ be pairwise coprime integers, each a product of primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and assume that these primes are quadratic residues of each other. If there are $x, y, v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(2) \quad Ax^2 + By^2 = Cv^2,$$

$$(3) \quad Ax^2 - By^2 = Dw^2,$$

then $C \equiv D \pmod{8}$, and A, B, C and D satisfy the relations

$$(4) \quad \left(\frac{AB}{C}\right)_4 \left(\frac{AD}{B}\right)_4 \left(\frac{BD}{A}\right)_4 = 1$$

and

$$(5) \quad (-1)^{\frac{C-D}{8}} \left(\frac{2}{CD}\right)_4 \left(\frac{BC}{D}\right)_4 \left(\frac{BD}{C}\right)_4 \left(\frac{CD}{A}\right)_4 = 1.$$

Proof. Assume that we have a congruence $Ar^2 = Bs^2 \pmod{D}$ with $(r, D) = (s, D) = 1$, and assume moreover that $(AB/p) = +1$ for all $p \mid D$. Then for each such p we have $Ar^2 = Bs^2 \pmod{p}$, and raising this congruence to the $\frac{p-1}{4}$ -th power we find that $(A/p)_4(r/p) = (B/p)_4(s/p)$; multiplying these relations together shows that $(AB/D)_4 = (rs/D)$. We will use this type of reasoning without comment below.

We may (and will) assume that $(x, y) = 1$. From $2y^2 \equiv 2By^2 = Cv^2 - Dw^2 \equiv v^2 - w^2 \pmod{4}$ we then deduce that $2 \mid y$ and $2 \nmid xvw$.

Reducing (2) modulo C gives $(-AB/C)_4 = (xy/C)$. Writing $y = 2^j y'$ for some odd y' gives $(y/C) = (2/C)^j (y'/C) = (2/C)^j (C/y')$. Reducing (2) modulo y' we see $(C/y') = (A/y')$. Similarly, we get $(x/C) = (C/x) = (B/x) = (x/B)$ from (2), and $(x/B) = (AD/B)_4 (w/B)$. Since $(w/B) = (B/w) = (A/w) = (w/A) = (-BD/A)_4 (y/A) = (-BD/A)_4 (2/A)^j (A/y')$, collecting our results gives $(-AB/C)_4 = (AD/B)_4 (-BD/A)_4 (2/AC)^j$. Next, $(-1/A)_4 = (2/A)$ and $(-1/C) = (2/C)$, hence the relations becomes $(AB/C)_4 (AD/B)_4 (BD/A)_4 = (2/AC)^{j+1}$.

Now there are two cases: if $j = 1$, then $A \equiv C + 4 \pmod{8}$, hence $(2/AC) = -1$, but $(2/AC)^{j+1} = 1$; if $j \geq 2$, then $A \equiv C \pmod{8}$, hence $(2/AC) = 1$. In both cases, we arrive at the desired relation.

By adding and subtracting (2) and (3), we get

$$(6) \quad 2Ax^2 = Cv^2 + Dw^2,$$

$$(7) \quad 2By^2 = Cv^2 - Dw^2.$$

From (7) and the fact that y is even we deduce that $C \equiv D \pmod{8}$.

Reducing (7) modulo D yields $(2BC/D)_4 = (vy/D)$. From (7) we deduce that $(y/D) = (2/D)^j(y'/D) = (2/D)^j(D/y') = (2/D)^j(C/y')$ and $(v/D) = (D/v) = (2A/v)$, so $(2BC/D)_4 = (2A/v)(2/D)^j(C/y')$. Similarly, $(-2BD/C)_4 = (wy/C)$, $(y/C) = (2/C)^j(C/y')$ and $(w/C) = (C/w) = (2A/w)$. Combining these results yields $(2BC/D)_4(-2BD/C)_4 = (2A/vw)(2/CD)^j$. Since $C \equiv D \pmod{8}$, we have $(2/CD) = 1$, and using $(-1/C)_4 = (2/C)$ we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{2BC}{D}\right)_4 \left(\frac{2BD}{C}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{2A}{vw}\right) \left(\frac{2}{C}\right).$$

Next, $(A/w) = (w/A) = (-BD/A)_4(y/A)$ and $(A/v) = (v/A) = (BC/A)_4(y/A)$, thus $(A/vw) = (-BD/A)_4(BC/A)_4 = (2A)(CD/A)_4$ since $(B/A) = +1$. This gives us

$$\left(\frac{2}{CD}\right)_4 \left(\frac{BC}{D}\right)_4 \left(\frac{BD}{C}\right)_4 \left(\frac{CD}{A}\right)_4 = \left(\frac{2}{vw}\right) \left(\frac{2}{C}\right).$$

If $j = 1$, then $Cv^2 \equiv Dw^2 + 8 \pmod{16}$, hence $C \equiv D + 8 \pmod{16}$ if and only if $(2/v) = (2/w)$, or $(2/vw) = -(-1)^{(C-D)/8}$. Moreover, $2Ax^2 \equiv 2Cv^2 + 8 \pmod{16}$ implies $(2/AC) = -1$, so we get $(2/vw)(2/AC) = (-1)^{(C-D)/8}$.

If $j \geq 2$, then $Cv^2 \equiv Dw^2 \pmod{16}$, and this shows that $C \equiv D \pmod{16}$ if and only if $(2/v) = (2/w)$, hence $(2/vw) = (-1)^{(C-D)/8}$. Moreover, (6) implies that $A \equiv C \pmod{8}$, hence $(2/AC) = +1$, and again $(2/vw)(2/AC) = (-1)^{(C-D)/8}$. $\square$

In order to apply this result we have to identify the coefficients A, B, C and D . We find

case	(1)	(2)	A	B	C	D	case	(1)	(2)	A	B	C	D
1Aa)	I	II	1	l	p	1	2Aa)	III	IV	p	1	1	l
1Ab)	I	II	1	l	1	p	2Ab)	III	IV	1	p	1	l
1Ba)	I	II	l	1	p	1	2Ba)	III	IV	p	1	l	1
1Bb)	I	II	l	1	1	p	2Bb)	III	IV	1	p	l	1

This takes care of all the conditions not involving $[\Pi/\Lambda]$. For completing the proof we need the following

Lemma 12. *Let $P \equiv L \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be primes such that $(P/L) = +1$. Let $\Pi, \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ be primary elements of norm P and L , respectively. If there exist integers $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$x^2 - 2y^2 = -Pz^2, \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 - y^2 = \epsilon Lw^2$$

for some $\epsilon = \pm 1$, then $[\Pi/\Lambda] = +1$.

Proof. Unique factorization gives $x + y\sqrt{2} = \varepsilon_2 \Pi \alpha^2$, where ε_2 is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and where $N\alpha = z$. Thus $[\Pi/\Lambda] = [\varepsilon_2/\Lambda][x + y\sqrt{2}\Lambda]$. Now $y \equiv \pm x \pmod{\Lambda}$ from the second equation, hence $[x + y\sqrt{2}/\Lambda] = [x/\Lambda][1 + \sqrt{2}/\Lambda]$. But $[1 + \sqrt{2}/\Lambda] =$

$[\varepsilon_2/\Lambda]$ since the expression $[\pm 1 \pm \sqrt{2}/\Lambda]$ does not depend on the choice of signs, and we get $[\Pi/\Lambda] = [x/\Lambda] = (x/L)$. If $\epsilon = +1$, then $(x/L) = (y/L) = (L/y) = +1$, and if $\epsilon = -1$, then $(x/L) = (L/x) = +1$. This proves our claim. $\square$

Lemma 12 takes care of four out of our eight cases:

case	x	y	z	w	P	L	ϵ
1Aa)	b	M	a	e	p	l	-1
1Ab)	a	M	b	e	p	l	$+1$
2Ab)	M	a	e	b	l	p	$+1$
2Bb)	e	a	m	b	l	p	-1

For the remaining four cases, the role of Lemma 12 is taken over by

Lemma 13. *Let $P \equiv L \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be primes such that $(P/L) = +1$. Let $\Pi, \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ be primary elements of norm P and L , respectively. If there exist integers $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$x^2 + 2\epsilon y^2 = Pz^2, \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + \epsilon y^2 = Lw^2$$

for some $\epsilon = \pm 1$, then

$$\left[\frac{\Pi}{\Lambda} \right] = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-4}{L} \right)_8 & \text{if } \epsilon = -1, \\ \left(\frac{P}{L} \right)_4 \left(\frac{L}{P} \right)_4 \left(\frac{-4}{L} \right)_8 & \text{if } \epsilon = +1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2\epsilon}]$ be primary elements of norm P and L , respectively. Then from $\pi\alpha^2 = x + y\sqrt{2\epsilon}$ we get $[\pi/\lambda] = [x + y\sqrt{2\epsilon}/\lambda]$. The second equation gives $x \equiv \pm y\sqrt{\epsilon} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ denotes a prime ideal above l in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$. Letting $\{\cdot/\cdot\}$ denote the quadratic residue symbol in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$, we find $[x + y\sqrt{2\epsilon}/\lambda] = \{x + y\sqrt{2\epsilon}/\mathfrak{l}\} = \{x \pm x\sqrt{2}/\mathfrak{l}\} = (x/L)[1 \pm \sqrt{2}/\Lambda]$. Now if $\epsilon = 1$ then $(x/L) = (L/x) = +1$, whereas if $\epsilon = -1$ then $(x/L) = (y/L) = (y'/L) = (L/y') = +1$. Thus $[\pi/\lambda] = [1 + \sqrt{2}/\Lambda] = (-4/L)_8$. If $\epsilon = -1$, then $\pi = \Pi$ and $\lambda = \Lambda$, but if $\epsilon = +1$ then $\pi = \Pi^*$ and $\lambda = \Lambda^*$, $\Pi^*, \Lambda^* \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ are primary elements of norm p and l , respectively. Thus $[\Pi/\Lambda] = [\Pi^*/\Lambda^*](P/L)_4(L/P)_4 = (P/L)_4(L/P)_4(-4/L)_8$. $\square$

Lemma 13 covers the remaining four cases:

case	x	y	z	w	P	L	ϵ	resulting condition
1Ba)	b	e	a	m	p	l	$+1$	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/pl)_8$
1Bb)	a	e	m	b	p	l	-1	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/l)_8$
2Aa)	M	b	a	e	l	p	-1	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/p)_8$
2Ba)	e	b	m	a	l	p	$+1$	$[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/pl)_8$

Note that, in case 1Ba), Lemma 13 gives $[\Pi/\Lambda] = (-4/l)_8(p/l)_4(l/p)_4$; but since $(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 = (-4/p)_8$ by Lemma 12, we get the relation in the table above.

As a matter of fact, the criteria involving $[\Pi/\Lambda]$ can just as well be obtained using genus theory (compare the discussion of $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p)$ below). As the discussion

of the ϕ -part below shows, however, it seems that arguments from genus theory cannot always be replaced by the direct calculation of residue symbols.

The ϕ -part

Our aim in this section is to show

Proposition 14. *If the torsor $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(b_1)$ with $1 \neq b_1 \in \langle 2, p, l \rangle$ has a rational point, then the conditions in Table 2 must be satisfied.*

b_1	conditions (*)
2	$(-4/p)_8 = (-4/l)_8 = [\Pi/\Lambda] = 1$
p	$(p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4 = (-4/p)_8 = 1$
$2p$	$(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 = (-4/l)_8, (-4/p)_8 = 1, [\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4$
l	$(p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4 = (-4/l)_8 = 1$
$2l$	$(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 = (-4/p)_8, (-4/l)_8 = 1, [\Pi/\Lambda] = (p/l)_4$
pl	$(p/l)_4 = (l/p)_4, (-4/p)_8 = (-4/l)_8 = 1$
$2pl$	$(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 = (-4/p)_8 = (-4/l)_8, [\Pi/\Lambda] = 1$

TABLE 2. If $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(b_1)$ has a rational point, then the conditions (*) must be satisfied.

For the proof of Prop. 14, we need the following proposition dealing with a slightly more general situation:

Proposition 15. *Let k be a product of pairwise distinct primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ that are quadratic residues of each other. Let $k = AB$ for $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$; if the torsor $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(A)$ of E_k has a nontrivial rational point, then the following conditions hold for any primary $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with norm A :*

- (1) $(-4/A)_8 = +1$;
- (2) $[\alpha/\pi] = +1$ for all $\pi \mid B$;
- (3) $(-4/p)_8 = (B/p)_4$ for all $p \mid A$.
- (4) $[\alpha^*/\pi] = +1$ for all $\pi \mid \alpha$, where $\alpha = \alpha^*\pi$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(A) : AN^2 = M^4 + 4B^2e^4$; let $b = \gcd(M, B)$ be normalized by $b > 0$. Putting $N = bn$ and $M = bm$, we get $An^2 = b^2m^4 + 4c^2e^4$, where $bc = B$. We may assume that m is odd: otherwise we switch the roles of m and e . Note that $A \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ implies that $4 \mid e$.

Factoring the right hand side on $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ gives $\alpha\nu^2 = bm^2 + 2cie^2$ for some primary $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with norm $N\alpha = A$. First observe that we have $\alpha\nu^2 \equiv bm^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$: thus α is congruent to a square modulo 8, and this implies 1. Moreover, $[\alpha/\pi] = [b/\pi] = (b/p) = +1$ for all $\pi \mid c$ with $N\pi = p$, and similarly $[\alpha/\pi] = 1$ for $\pi \mid b$, hence criterion 2.

Reducing the equation modulo some $\pi \mid \alpha$ gives $[1 + i/\pi](c/p)_4 = (-b/p)_4$, hence $(-4/p)_8 = (B/p)_4$ for all $p \mid A$, and this is 3.

Finally, subtracting $\alpha\nu^2 = bm^2 + 2cie^2$ from its conjugate yields $\alpha\nu^2 - \overline{\alpha\nu^2} = 4cie^2$; reducing modulo some $\pi \mid \overline{\alpha}$ we get $[\alpha/\pi] = (2c/p) = +1$. Since $[\pi/\pi] = +1$, this is equivalent to $[\alpha^*/\pi] = +1$, proving 4. $\square$

Proof of Prop. 14. In the case $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(p)$ we have $A = p$ and $B = l$, so $(-4/p)_8 = 1$ from 1., $(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 = [\pi/\lambda] = 1$ from 2., $(-4/p)_8 = (l/p)_4$ from 3. and no condition from 4. In this way we find all criteria given in Table 2 except those involving $[\Pi/\Lambda]$. These have to be derived in an ad hoc manner:

• $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2)$: $2n^2 = M^4 + p^2l^2e^4$. Write the torsor in the form $-p^2l^2e^4 = (M^2 + n\sqrt{2})(M^2 - n\sqrt{2})$. We assume that $(M, pl) = 1$; the other cases are treated similarly. Then $M^2 + n\sqrt{2} = \eta\Pi^2\Lambda^2\alpha^4$ for primes $\Pi, \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ such that $N\Pi = p$, $N\Lambda = l$ and $\Pi \equiv \Lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Moreover, $\eta = \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$ with $\varepsilon = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Adding the last equation to its conjugate gives $2M^2 = (\sqrt{2}M)^2 = \eta\Pi^2\Lambda^2\alpha^4 + \overline{\eta\Pi^2\Lambda^2\alpha^4}$. Replacing M by $M\varepsilon$ if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that $\eta = \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(\sqrt{2}M)^2 = \Pi^2\Lambda^2\alpha^4 - \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^4 = (\Pi\Lambda\alpha^2 + \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^2)(\Pi\Lambda\alpha^2 - \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^2).$$

Now $\Pi\Lambda\alpha^2 + \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^2 \equiv \sqrt{2} \pmod{2}$, hence $\Pi\Lambda\alpha^2 + \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^2 = \sqrt{2}\mu^2$, $\Pi\Lambda\alpha^2 - \overline{\varepsilon}\overline{\Pi}\overline{\Lambda}\overline{\alpha}^2 = \sqrt{2}\varepsilon^{-1}\overline{\mu}^2$. Reducing modulo $\overline{\Pi}$ and using $[\Pi/\overline{\Pi}] = (2/p)_4$, $[\varepsilon/\overline{\Pi}] = (-4/p)_8 = 1$ (in this case), as well as $[\Lambda/\overline{\Pi}] = [\Lambda/\Pi]$ we find that the solvability of $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2)$ implies $[\Lambda/\Pi] = 1$.

• $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p)$: Factoring $2pn^2 = M^4 + l^2e^4$ as $2pn^2 = (M^2 + le^2 + Me\sqrt{2l})(M^2 + le^2 - Me\sqrt{2l})$ and observing that $Me \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ implies that each factor is divisible exactly once by the prime ideal $\mathfrak{z}$ above 2. Thus $\mathfrak{z}pn^2 = (M^2 + le^2 + Me\sqrt{2l})$, where $\mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal with norm n . Let h^+ denote the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$ in the strict sense. We have to distinguish several cases:

- (1) $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $h^+ \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. By Proposition 2, this holds if and only if $(-4/l)_8 = -1$, and we also know that $N\varepsilon_{2l} = +1$ and that $\mathfrak{z}$ is principal in the wide sense. Now $[\Pi/\Lambda] = +1 \iff \mathfrak{p} \stackrel{+}{\sim} [4]$ by Lemma 3, and since $\mathfrak{z}pn^2$ is principal in the strict sense, this happens if and only if $\mathfrak{z}n^2 \stackrel{+}{\sim} [4]$. If $(2/l)_4 = -1$, then $\mathfrak{z} \stackrel{+}{\sim} 1$ is principal in the strict sense, and this happens if and only if $\mathfrak{n}^2 \stackrel{+}{\sim} [4]$, thus by genus theory $\iff (2/n) = (l/n) = +1$. But $(2/n) = (2p/l)_4 = -(p/l)_4$. Finally, solvability of $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p)$ implies $(-4/l)_8 = (p/l)_4(l/p)_4$, so $(p/l)_4 = (-4/l)_8(l/p)_4 = -(l/p)_4$, and we see that $[\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4$ as claimed. If $(2/l)_4 = +1$, on the other hand, then $\mathfrak{z}$ is not principal in the strict sense, hence $[\Pi/\Lambda] = +1 \iff \mathfrak{n}^2 \stackrel{-}{\sim} [4]$, that is, iff $-1 = (2/n) = (p/l)_4$, and as above this gives $[\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4$.
- (2) $h \equiv h^+ \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. By Proposition 2, this holds if and only if $(2/l)_4 = -1$ and $l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$. Here $\mathfrak{z}^2$ is principal in the strict sense and $\mathfrak{z}$ is not, in particular $\mathfrak{z} \stackrel{+}{\sim} [2]$ but $\mathfrak{z} \stackrel{-}{\sim} [4]$. Now $[\Pi/\Lambda] = +1 \iff \mathfrak{n} \stackrel{-}{\sim} [2]$ which in turn happens iff $-1 = (2/n) = (2p/l)_4 = -(p/l)_4$. Since $1 = (-4/l)_8 = (p/l)_4(l/p)_4$ from earlier solvability results, this gives $[\Pi/\Lambda] = 1 \iff (l/p)_4 = 1$ as claimed.
- (3) $h^+ \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. By Proposition 2, this holds if and only if $(2/l)_4 = +1$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. Here $\mathfrak{z}^2 = (2)$ is principal, and since the class group $\text{Cl}_2^+(k)$ is cyclic, $\mathfrak{z} \stackrel{+}{\sim} [4]$. Thus $[\Pi/\Lambda] = +1 \iff \mathfrak{n} \stackrel{+}{\sim} [2] \iff 1 = (2p/l)_4 = (p/l)_4$, and we conclude as above that $[\Pi/\Lambda] = (l/p)_4$.

• $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2l) : N^2 = 2lM^4 + 2p^2le^4$. Symmetry reduces this to the discussion of $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p)$.

• $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2pl) : N^2 = 2plM^4 + 2ple^4$. We start by factoring the torsor as $2pln^2 = M^4 + e^4 = (M^2 + e^2 + Me\sqrt{2})(M^2 + e^2 - Me\sqrt{2})$. Unique Factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ gives $M^2 + e^2 + Me\sqrt{2} = \varepsilon\sqrt{2}\Pi\Lambda\nu^2$ and $M^2 + e^2 - Me\sqrt{2} = -\bar{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2}\bar{\Pi}\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\nu}^2$. Subtracting the second equation from the first gives $2Me = \varepsilon\Pi\Lambda\nu^2 + \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\Pi}\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\nu}^2$, which in view of $[\varepsilon/\bar{\Pi}] = (-4/p)_8$ and $[\Pi/\bar{\Pi}] = (2/p)_4$ gives $[\Lambda/\Pi] = (Me/p)(-1/p)_8$.

On the other hand we have $2pln^2 = (M^2 + ie^2)(M^2 - ie^2)$, hence $M^2 + ie^2 = (1+i)\pi\lambda\nu^2$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. This implies $(Me/p) = [Me/\pi] = [-i/\pi]_4 = (-1/p)_8$, hence our claim that $[\Pi/\Lambda] = 1$ is proved. $\square$

The use of genus theory in this connection was suggested by the proofs of Pépin's conjectures in [20]. This concludes our discussion of the ϕ -part of $\mathbf{III}(\hat{E}/\mathbb{Q})$.

5. THE MAIN RESULT

The main result of this note is the following theorem:

Theorem 16. *Let $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be primes with $(p/l) = 1$. The properties of the Tate-Shafarevich groups $\mathbf{III}(E_k/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ and $\mathbf{III}(\hat{E}_k/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ corresponding to the 2-isogenies between the elliptic curves $E_k : y^2 = x(x^2 - p^2l^2)$ and $\hat{E}_k : y^2 = x(x^2 + 4p^2l^2)$ are recorded in Table 3. If the rank given there is 0, then the given subgroups actually equal $\mathbf{III}(E_k/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ and $\mathbf{III}(\hat{E}_k/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$, and we have $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$.*

The last two columns in Table 3 give the smallest examples of p and l satisfying the conditions and such that the given inequality for the rank is an equality (assuming the BSD-conjecture, and with the possible exception of the first line with $p = 41$, $l = 2273$, where the rank is 2 or 4). In all cases except one, the given example is the one that occurs first: the exception is $pl = 41 \cdot 1601$, where the example $pl = 41 \cdot 1321$ has the same residue symbols; yet $\text{rank } E_{41 \cdot 1321} = 0$.

Let us sketch the proof by going through one example. Take e.g. the second line; we claim that $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(p)$ is the only possibly trivial torsor in $S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ (that means that it is the only one that might have a rational point). In fact, the torsors $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2)$, $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(l)$, $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2l)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(pl)$ are nontrivial since $(-4/l)_8 = -1$, whereas $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2p)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(\phi)}(2pl)$ are nontrivial because $(p/l)_4(l/p)_4 \neq (-4/l)_8$. The other claims now follow immediately.

It remains to prove that $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ has order 16 if $\text{rank } E_{pl} = 0$. Recall the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] \longrightarrow \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] \longrightarrow \mathbf{III}(\hat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi] \longrightarrow \hat{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\hat{C}$ is a finite 2-group of even rank by a result of Cassels. Since $\hat{C}$ is a quotient of the group $\mathbf{III}(\hat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ of order 2 in our case, we must have $\hat{C} = 0$, and in particular we get $\mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq \mathbf{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] \oplus \mathbf{III}(\hat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ as claimed.

The formula in [25, p. 30] shows that, assuming BSD, the order of $\mathbf{III}(\hat{E}/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is 4 in these cases; this will be proved unconditionally in a subsequent paper dealing with a comparison of the method used here and classical 2-descent.

Corollary 17. *The curves of rank 0 among E_{pl} , where $p \equiv l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ are primes such that $(p/l) = +1$, have density at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Those with rank 4 have density at most $\frac{1}{32}$.*

$\left[\frac{\Pi}{\Lambda}\right]$	$\left(\frac{l}{p}\right)_4$	$\left(\frac{p}{l}\right)_4$	$\left(\frac{-4}{p}\right)_8$	$\left(\frac{-4}{l}\right)_8$	$\mathbf{III}[\psi]$	$\mathbf{III}[\phi]$	rk E	$W^{(\phi)}$	p	l
+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	1	1	≤ 4	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	41	2273
			+1	-1	1	$\langle 2p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle p \rangle$	41	769
			-1	+1	1	$\langle p, 2l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle l \rangle$	97	353
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	1361
		-1	+1	+1	1	$\langle p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2 \rangle$	41	113
			+1	-1	1	$\langle p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2p \rangle$	113	233
			-1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	953
			-1	-1	1	$\langle 2, p \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2pl \rangle$	17	89
	-1	+1	+1	+1	1	$\langle p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2 \rangle$	41	569
			+1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	41	73
			-1	+1	1	$\langle p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2l \rangle$	17	457
			-1	-1	1	$\langle p, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2pl \rangle$	17	433
		-1	+1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle p \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2, pl \rangle$	41	1601
			+1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	41	449
			-1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	569
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	977
-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2 \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle p, l \rangle$	113	569
			+1	-1	1	$\langle 2, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle p \rangle$	41	433
			-1	+1	1	$\langle 2, p \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle l \rangle$	17	353
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	73	89
		-1	+1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	41	353
			+1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	113	241
			-1	+1	1	$\langle 2, p \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2l \rangle$	17	137
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	89	97
	-1	+1	+1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	41	337
			+1	-1	1	$\langle 2, l \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2p \rangle$	113	401
			-1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	257
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	73	97
		-1	+1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle p \rangle$	≤ 2	$\langle 2p, 2l \rangle$	113	257
			+1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	41	241
			-1	+1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	89	257
			-1	-1	$\langle p \rangle$	$\langle 2, p, l \rangle$	0	1	17	281

TABLE 3. The Tate-Shafarevich groups $\mathbf{III}[\phi] := \mathbf{III}(E_k/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]$ and $\mathbf{III}[\psi] := \mathbf{III}(\widehat{E}_k/\mathbb{Q})[\psi]$ corresponding to the 2-isogenies between the elliptic curves $E_k : y^2 = x(x^2 - k^2)$ and $\widehat{E}_k : y^2 = x(x^2 + 4k^2)$ with $k = pl$ have subgroups as indicated. The column labeled by rk E gives bounds for the rank of $E_k(\mathbb{Q})$. The column $W^{(\phi)}$ gives the subgroup of torsors in $S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ that may have rational points.

Some Examples. In [37], Wada and Taira (extending previous calculations of Noda & Wada [27]; see also Nemenzo [24]) computed the rank of most curves E_k for $k < 40,000$. For 20 of these curves, they could only prove that the rank was

between 2 and 4. For 8 out of these 20 numbers, our results show that the rank is in fact 2 in these cases:

k	p	l	$(l/p)_4$	$(p/l)_4$	$(-4/p)_8$	$(-4/l)_8$	$[\Pi/\Lambda]$
1513	17	89	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1
2329	17	137	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1
4633	41	113	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1
6001	17	353	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
6953	17	409	+1	+1	-1	+1	-1
7361	17	433	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1
7769	17	457	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1
9809	17	577	+1	-1	-1	+1	-1

We remark in passing that the inequality $\text{rank } E \leq 2$ in these cases follows already from the criteria not involving $[\Pi/\Lambda]$. Moreover, the special case $k = 1513$ was discussed by Wada [36].

The tables of Nemenzo [25, 26] contain 70 more values $k = pl < 100,000$ such that E_k has analytic rank 2 and Selmer rank 4. For 66 of them, the criteria involving the rational residue symbols suffice to show that the rank is at most 2; the 4 exceptions are $k = 64297 = 113 \cdot 569$, $67009 = 113 \cdot 593$, $93193 = 41 \cdot 2273$ and $94177 = 41 \cdot 2297$. For these values of k we find $[\Lambda/\Pi] = -1$ except when $k = 93193$.

In [21], we will treat the remaining values of k from [37] for which the rank could not be determined there.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aoki, *On the 2-Selmer groups of elliptic curves arising from the congruent number problem*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **48** (1999), 77–101 2
- [2] B.J. Birch, H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Notes on elliptic curves. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218** (1965), 79–108 2
- [3] R. Bölling, *Die Ordnung der Schafarewitsch-Tate-Gruppe kann beliebig groß werden*, Math. Nachr. **67** (1975), 157–179 2
- [4] J.W.S. Cassels, *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. VI. The Tate-Safarevic group can be arbitrarily large*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **214/215** (1964), 65–70 2
- [5] J. Cremona, *Higher descents on elliptic curves*, preprint 1998
- [6] L. Dirichlet, *Démonstration d’une propriété analogue à la loi de réciprocité qui existe entre deux nombres premiers quelconques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **9** (1832), 379–389; Werke I, 173–188 2
- [7] K. Feng, *Non-congruent numbers, odd graphs and the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, Acta Arith. **75** (1996), 71–83 1, 4, 16
- [8] K. Feng, *Non-congruent number, odd graph and the BSD conjecture on $y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$* , Singularities and complex geometry (Beijing, 1994), 54–66, 1997; coincides with [7] 1, 4
- [9] A. Genocchi, *Sur l’impossibilité de quelques égalités doubles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **78** (1874), 423–436 1
- [10] R.K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Springer Verlag 1981; Japan. transl. 1983; 2nd Engl. ed. 1994 1
- [11] H. Hasse, *Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlkörper*, Jahresber. DMV, Ergänzungsband **6** (1930), 204 pp., Teil II: Reziprozitätsgesetz. Reprint: Physica Verlag, Würzburg 1965 6

- [12] B. Iskra, *Non-congruent numbers with arbitrarily many prime factors congruent to 3 modulo 8*, Proc. Japan Acad. **72** (1996), 168–169 1
- [13] G. Kings, *Über Bedingungen für Punkte unendlicher Ordnung über $\mathbb{Q}$ auf den Kurven $E : y^2 = x^3 + \ell^2 x$* , Diplomarbeit Univ. Bonn, 1989 4
- [14] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, GTM 97, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag 1993 1
- [15] K. Kramer, *A family of semistable elliptic curves with large Tate-Shafarevitch groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 379–386 2
- [16] J. Lagrange, *Construction d’une table de nombres congruents*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Suppl., Mem. **49–50** (1977), 125–130 1, 2, 5
- [17] J. Lagrange, *Nombres congruents et courbes elliptiques*, Sémin. Delange-Pisot-Poitou 1974/75, Fasc. 1, Exposé 16, 17 p. (1975) 1, 2, 4, 6, 7
- [18] F. Lemmermeyer, *On Tate-Shafarevich Groups of some Elliptic Curves*, Proc. Conf. Graz 1998, (2000), 277–291 1, 2
- [19] F. Lemmermeyer, *Reciprocity Laws. From Euler to Eisenstein*, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg 2000 2, 3
- [20] F. Lemmermeyer, *A note on Pépin’s counter examples to the Hasse Principle for curves of genus 1*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **69** (1999), 335–345 2, 14
- [21] F. Lemmermeyer, R. Mollin, *On Tate-Shafarevich Groups of $y^2 = x(x^2 - k^2)$* , preprint 2002 16
- [22] C.-E. Lind, *Untersuchungen über die rationalen Punkte der ebenen kubischen Kurven vom Geschlecht Eins*, Diss. Univ. Uppsala 1940 2
- [23] O. McGuinness, *The Cassels pairing in a family of elliptic curves*, Ph. D. Diss. Brown Univ. 1982 2
- [24] F.R. Nemenzo, *On the rank of the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 2379^2 x$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **72** (1996), 206–207 2, 15
- [25] F.R. Nemenzo, *All congruent numbers less than 40000*, Proc. Japan Acad. **74** (1998), 29–31 1, 14, 16
- [26] F.R. Nemenzo, *email* February 4, 2002 16
- [27] K. Noda, H. Wada, *All congruent numbers less than 10000*, Proc. Japan Acad. **69** (1993), 175–178 15
- [28] T. Ono, *On the relative Mordell-Weil rank of elliptic quartic curves*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), 665–670 1
- [29] M.J. Razar, *A relation between the two-component of the Tate-Safarevic group and $L(1)$ for certain elliptic curves*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 127–144 2, 4
- [30] L. Rédei, *Die Diophantische Gleichung $mx^2 + ny^2 = z^4$* , Monatsh. Math. Phys. **48** (1939), 43–60 2
- [31] A. Scholz, *Über die Lösbarkeit der Gleichung $t^2 - Du^2 = -4$* , Math. Z. **39** (1934), 95–111 3
- [32] E.S. Selmer, *A conjecture concerning rational points on cubic curves*, Math. Scand. **2** (1954), 49–54
- [33] P. Serf, *Congruent numbers and elliptic curves*, Proc. Colloq. Debrecen/Hung. 1989, 227–238 (1991) 1
- [34] J. Silverman, *Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag 1986 1
- [35] R.J. Stroeker, J. Top, *On the equation $Y^2 = (X + p)(X^2 + p^2)$* , Rocky Mt. J. Math. **24** (1994), 1135–1161 2
- [36] H. Wada, *On the rank of the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 1513^2 x$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **72** (1996), 34–35 1, 2, 16
- [37] H. Wada, M. Taira, *Computations of the rank of elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - n^2 x$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **70** (1994), 154–157 15, 16

CSU SAN MARCOS, 333 S TWIN OAKS VALLEY RD, SAN MARCOS, CA 92096-0001, USA
E-mail address: `franzl@csusm.edu`